

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1570 pnine 22313-1459 www.uspub.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/460,361	12/13/1999	AKIRA UTSUMI		2392	
7590 11/20/2003			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Jay P. Lessler Darby & Darby, P.C. 805 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022			PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C		
			ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771		
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2005	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/460,361	AKIRA UTSUMI				
Advicery Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher C Pratt	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ('condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe	avoid abandonment of this a 1) a timely filed amendmen	application. A proper reply to a t which places the application in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office of the content of the	later than SIX MONTHS from the S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS e date on which the petition unde of extension and the correspondid the shortened statutory period for ice later than three months after:	e mailing date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP r 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ng amount of the fee. The appropriate extension versely originally set in the final Office actions or				
timety filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments rely on a nonentered amendment.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-13 and 15-18</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 09/460,361

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendment attempts to set an upper limit on the thickness of the rigid layer. This limitation has nobeen previously considered. Claims 3 and 21 seem to conflict with this limitation by removing this upper limit. The proposed amendment also attempts to add a limitation concerning "profile fibers." The meaning of this term is unclear.

CHERYL Y COURS